

EXPLANATION OF THE TENDER DOCUMENTATION No. 5

Name of Public Contract:	Nový hlavák (NEW MAIN STATION DISTRICT)
Contracting Authority 1:	The Capital City of Prague Mariánské náměstí 2/2, 111 00 Prague 1 ID. No: 000 64 581 represented by the Department of Property Management of the Capital City of Prague
Contracting Authority 2:	Prague Public Transit Company, joint stock company Sokolovská 42/217, Vysočany, 190 00 Prague 9 ID. No: 000 05 886
Contracting Authority 3:	Správa železnic, state organisation Dlážděná 1003/7, 110 00 Prague 1 ID. No: 709 94 234

The Contracting Authority received a written request for clarification of the Tender Documentation/ Call to participate in the Competitive Dialogue from the participant.

The Contracting Authority hereby provides an explanation of the Tender Documentation for the above-mentioned Public Contract in accordance with Section 98 of the PPA, in both Czech and English, including the exact wording of the request for explanation of the Tender Documentation without identifying the participant who requested the explanation. In the event of a conflict between the Czech and English versions of the explanation, the Czech version applies.

	Inquiry by the participant if the explanation was provided following a request for clarification
1.	Regarding Clause 8.1.1 the Professional approach – Can you confirm if the idea is that the tenderer proposes a concept design already in the approach methodology? What kind of explanations are expected regarding the approach methodology linked to the reference? Is the tendered expected to link the concept design already with the references produced?
1.	<p>The Contracting Authority recommends the participant to read the definitions of the Tender Documentation and paragraph 4.2 of the Tender Documentation, where the terms of the individual submissions in the Competitive Dialogue are defined.</p> <p>The Contracting Authority believes that it is already clear from these parts of the Tender Documentation that the Approach Methodology (containing the professional approaches) is a different document from the Concept.</p> <p>For the sake of clarity, the Contracting Authority reiterates the information from the Tender Documentation and provides an interpretation of it below:</p> <p>When announcing the Competitive Dialogue, the Contracting Authority published the Competition Brief, divided into section P01.1 General Brief and section P01.2 Description of the current situation. The Contracting Authority expects the participant to study these documents.</p> <p>The paragraph 8.3.2 of the Tender Documentation sets out the criteria against which the participant's Approach Methodology will be evaluated, including a description of the individual evaluation sub-criteria - Quality of the proposed urban and architectural design, Quality of the proposed landscape design, Quality of the proposed transport solution. For each</p>

	<p>sub-criterion, it is stated that the participant shall respond in the professional approach to the Competition Brief (P01 of the Tender Documentation).</p> <p>This means that after the participant has studied the Competition Brief, the participant will write a professional approach to the urban and architectural design, a professional approach to the landscape design and a professional approach to the transport solution based on the information provided therein.</p> <p>In these professional approaches, the participant is expected to highlight (in the participant's opinion) the key issues that will need to be addressed in terms of urban and architectural design, landscape design and transport solution. In addition, e.g. in relation to urban and architectural design, the participant will describe what urban and architectural elements/principles could bring about a suitable functional revitalisation and connection between various levels of the Area concerned, as well as strengthening its links to the wider surroundings (similarly described in section 8.3.2 of the Tender Documentation in relation to landscape design and transport solution).</p> <p>The participant is entitled to accompany these descriptions with a detailed presentation (visualisation, photographs, description of the reference contract) of a maximum of 1 reference contract at any one time. However, as indicated by the Contracting Authority in the previous additional information, the participant may share in the textual description of the professional approach links to the other participant's reference contracts that would illustrate the elements/principles that the participant would like to further use in the solution (only links, no additional visualisations, etc.).</p>
--	---

	Inquiry by the participant if the explanation was provided following a request for clarification
2.	Regarding the evaluation criteria in 8.3 can you confirm if professional approach and principles of urban design, architecture & landscape are linked to the actual concept design of the project or the reference projects?
	Explanation of the Contracting Authority
2.	See the Contracting Authority's explanation to question V05/1 above.

	Inquiry by the participant if the explanation was provided following a request for clarification
3.	Can you please confirm if in terms of submission it's the Approach methodology document (P08); TEMPLATE_CONTACT_AND_AUTHOR_DOCUMENT_EN (P08.1) and a declaration for copyrights? Is there a particular statement that you want us to give in the declaration of licensing relationship of the author and participant if they are the same?
	Explanation of the Contracting Authority
3.	<p>The documents to be submitted are listed in document P10.</p> <p>As regards the licensing agreements, the Contracting Authority refers to paragraph 14.1 of the Tender Documentation. If the person of the author and the participant are identical, then it may be sufficient to submit an affidavit that the participant is also the author - i.e. in the case where the Approach Methodology is submitted by a natural person who is both the participant and the author, or where the Approach Methodology is submitted by a legal entity whose governing (statutory) body is the person who is the author of the Approach Methodology, it is sufficient to submit only the above affidavit (see paragraph 14.1.1. (1) of the Tender Documentation).</p>

Capitalized terms used in this explanation, unless otherwise specified, shall have the meanings as set forth in the definitions in the Tender Documentation.

For the avoidance of any doubt, the Contracting Authority states that the provision of the above clarification does not change the Tender Documentation within the meaning of Sections 98(5) and 99 of the PPA and the deadline for submission of the Approach Methodology remains unaffected.

The Contracting Authority draws your attention to the fact that the participants may submit their questions in relation to the Approach Methodology no later than 22 August 2022 by midnight CET.

In Prague, 15 August 2022